

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_124644
Title of the Manuscript:	Ethnopharmacological Survey on <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> Used by Togolese Traditional Practitioners for Cough Treatment
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article mainly focuses on only one plant and its role in cough treatment, which is good and indicates that the author's vision is clear. I have seen several other articles in which authors take many medicinal plants and do not focus on a particular one.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	-
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	-	-
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The data is good and enough but some figures in this article are not clearly visible, the author should change the design of the figures.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As this article mainly focuses on cough treatment by <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> , the author should also include the reason why this medicinal plant is used for cough. Are there any phytochemicals or any other mechanisms behind medicinal properties?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	-	-
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are a few lines which can be rewritten.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navendra Uniyal
Department, University & Country	Doon Group of Institution, India