

**Review Form 3**

|                          |                                                                                                                               |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>                                                                          |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_EJMP_124644                                                                                                                |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Ethnopharmacological Survey on Chenopodium ambrosioides Used by Togolese Traditional Practitioners for Cough Treatment</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Paper</b>                                                                                                |

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.                        | The manuscript has its own importance from ethnobotanical point of view. Ethnic groups are residing in the remote areas and they are still far away from the modern medical facilities in most of the countries of the world especially in Africa. In addition, allopathic medicines are too costly and have their side effects therefore, such type of study will provide herbal based medicines to the local society which is easily available in the nature, have no side effects and comparatively cheap.                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                              |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)                                                                                                                                                    | The title is not relevant to the study done. If possible, it should be:-<br>Ethnobotanical Survey of <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> L. to Treat Cough by Togolese Traditional Practitioners in West Africa.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                              |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.                                                                           | Abstract is not complete, informative and in scientific lampr. It needs thorough revision.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                              |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?                                                                                                                                                                          | Although sections and subsections are appropriate such as-<br>Introduction-Effective including all previous information supported by relevant literature.<br>Methodology of the study, data collection, interviews techniques, data processing and analysis etc. are written in a impressive manner. But there are some typographical errors like-<br>Interview technics- It should be Interview techniques<br>Result and Discussion- Results and Discussion<br>Results are very well discussed with the relevant literature.<br>Conclusion- Needs some revision, adding name of regions Togo where surveys were conducted and some actual findings of the study. |                                                                                                                                                              |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Title should be changed because it is ethnobotanical study based on information gathered from some ethnic groups only. Authority of each plant after its botanical name should be written, it is essential in any botanical description when we use botanical name of the plant including survey was conducted on <i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> L. Further where there is a need of <i>italics</i> letter use <i>italics</i> letter such as <i>in vivo</i> , <i>in vitro</i>                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                              |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.                                                                                                   | Referencences are sufficient, relevant and recent up to 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                              |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                              |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?                                                                                                                                                 | Language quality is good except abstract.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                              |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments                                                                                                                                                                                                      | The findings of the present manuscript are new and having its own importance to the ethnic groups of the nearby ethnic societies of the study area.<br>Title, abstract and conclusion should be revised<br>Read manuscript thoroughly and correct all the typographical errors.<br>The manuscript may be accepted for publication after incorporating all the suggestions.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                              |

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

|                                                     | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                    | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |                                                                                                                                                                                             |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                                |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>G. S. Deora</b>                                             |
| Department, University & Country | <b>College of Science, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, India</b> |