

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_124535
Title of the Manuscript:	Bilateral Adrenal Hemorrhage in a Patient Anticoagulated with Apixaban for Antiphospholipid syndrome (APS): a rare case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Although it's a rare catch, this case report emphasizes on using conventional warfarin as anticoagulant for patients with APS, using this adrenal haemorrhage as a marker for ineffectiveness of DAAs. So, it is really an important point to consider.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The introduction is very short and without references. The CT scan images for the haemorrhages are not included in the report.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This report aims to guide rheumatologists away from using DAAs in APS, although it's already not recommended, but some might be tempted to use DAAs as they don't need dose modifications as needed for warfarin.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Mohammed Abdulsahib
Department, University & Country	Hawler Maternity Hospital, Iraq