

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_125568
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial feasibility of coconut cultivation in South Konkan region of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is useful for the coconut growers as it will give them idea on the importance of technology adoption to productivity. <b>The importance of this paper may be highlighted in the introduction by citing the research gap it addressed</b> <b>However the author must be explicit as to what are the technologies being assessed</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	One of the objects is broader than the title. Case in point the term <i>Economic well being vs. Financial feasibility</i> , which may lead to confusion of the readers. The author may consider revising the title and/or paraphrasing the objective	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Some elements of the abstract are lacking, e.I. presentation of the objective of the research and brief description of the methodology. There is an item being mentioned in the abstract but cannot be found in the full paper e.g. <i>Numerous technologies have been suggested by the Regional Coconut Research Station, Bhatye, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth,...</i>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure if the manuscript is appropriate. You may improved the paper by carefully reviewing and making sure that the data presented are relevant in addressing the objectives. The author may also consider citing the statistical tool used to determine the relationship between technology adoption and the overall economic well-being.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	To enhance clarity and cogency, the author may consider the following suggestions:  1. enrich the introduction part by clearly stating the research gap addressed by this research endeavour, 2. Make sure that the conclusion had answered all the objectives of the study, 3. Coherence of every part of the manuscript is very important	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references were not cited properly: e.g. <i>Torane et al. (2015)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	To increase comprehension by the international readers, the author may consider using the scientific names of the crops than the common names.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raquel Oclarit-Salingay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Central Mindanao University, Philippines</b>