

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_125454
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional Profile and Phytochemical Composition of Ficus capensis Stem collected from Agbani, Enugu, South East Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is work on the stem of a plant, which means that the plant must be killed for the study. Is it a work carried out on plants extracted from cultivation?, It should clarify a little more about the origin of the samples analyzed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In the summary you should compact the results and not include all the numbers obtained. Remember that the summary has to arouse the reader's interest.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it follows the structure of a scientific writing Remember that the first time a scientific name appears in the text it must be written completely.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It would be better if you compare results from tree bark and not herbs. Interesting work to encourage use in the community. The work complements studies on the leaves of this species.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the bibliography is sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	The article is publishable with minor modifications	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nelida Soria Rey
Department, University & Country	National University of Pilar, Paraguay