

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_120050
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidermoid cyst of the pineal region: report of a case
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The rarity of the lesion makes the case report important for scientific community. The relevant radiological findings and images are enhancing the importance of this report. However, the report needs to be put in a clear, concise manner respecting the chronology of citations in the discussion part. (see comments in reviewed manuscript)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable; slight modification is required and has been mentioned as comment in the reviewed manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. Cushing was the first to report the pineal location of the epidermoid cyst in 1928. This line may be omitted from abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Material and method heading to be replaced by Case report heading	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As mentioned earlier, the rarity of lesion makes it important. The relevant images make it technically sound. Also, the literature has been adequately discussed in the discussion part.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Chronology of citations in the discussion part has not been maintained. Recent references should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The report needs to be put in a clear, concise manner respecting the chronology of citations in the discussion part. Also, recent references must be added so that any newer development / finding; if any may be incorporated in the article	

Comment [ak1]: This line may be omitted from abstract

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shweta
Department, University & Country	Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee Government Medical College, India