

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_120050
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidermoid cyst of the pineal region: report of a case
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work presented is both intriguing and well-crafted, making it apt for publication in this journal. Congratulations to all the authors for their valuable contributions to this article. The content is captivating and suitable for incorporation into this journal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title reflects the content of the article. Perhaps it would be better to write "A Rare Case Presentation"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is quite comprehensive, but there are a few comments. First, epidermoid cyst IS NOT A TUMOR. Immediately a factual error. Epidermoid cyst is benign growths. Secondly, the outcome of the surgical intervention should be added: for example, discharged with regression of intracranial hypertension.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article presents a case of observation and surgical treatment of an epidermoid cyst of the pineal region - an extremely interesting benign formation. The authors conducted an extensive analysis of the literature. The treatment tactics and surgical technique are justified.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	References to literary sources include both fundamental and modern publications.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English should be improved.	
Optional/General comments	The term "tumour" used in the article should be replaced with the correct term "benign growths." Additionally, the figures in the article should be corrected as the provided scans are of low quality. It is recommended to select 1-4 highly informative slices for each visualization method. In addition, the photographs provided show the patient's personal data; this is unacceptable. Furthermore, it is crucial to include microphotographs of the results from the pathology examination in the article as the study would be deemed unreliable without them.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darya Sitovskaya
Department, University & Country	Almazov National Medical Research Centre, Russia