

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_120048
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of intracranial arteriovenous malformations: Experience of the neurosurgery department of Specialty Hospital-Rabat.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cerebral Arteriovenous malformation is an under recognised entity even in neurological practise. It should be suspected in every case of cerebral bleed, as the management is different from other causes of bleed. The procedures may be curative to prevent recurrences of bleed. This article will throw some light into the knowledge about this entity	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article is dealt more with the clinical profile than the management aspect of intracranial arteriovenous malformations and its outcome . Hence the title of " Clinical profile and management of intracranial arteriovenous malformations" would be appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and it reveals about the study in short	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript and the subsections are appropriately placed	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This retrospective study has been done meticulously with the available records and analysed properly. The total number of study population is adequate for this rare entity to analyse the clinical profile and outcome of the curative procedures done. Few cases were given the radiological evidences in the form of CT brain and digital subtraction angiography pictures	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Recent articles about the recurrence of arteriovenous malformations following treatment, complications of gamma knife radiosurgery might be added for further references	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is understandable and the language is well handled	
Optional/General comments	Only symptomatic cases are analysed. Unruptured, incidental cases of cerebral arteriovenous malformation cases are not included in the study. The complications and outcomes of individual procedures are not dealt separately. It would be more informative if post procedure followup angiogram results have been mentioned	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R Subramanian
Department, University & Country	Madurai Medical College, India