

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	AsianJournalofResearchinMedicalandPharmaceuticalSciences
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJRIMPS_125716
Title ofthe Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SOME ANTIOXIDANT PARAMETERS(VITAMIN E& C),MDA AND HEMOGLOBIN LEVELS, IN WOMEN OF REPRODUCTIVE AGE, BASED ONMODE OF CHILD DELIVERY,IN OWERRI,IMO STATE,NIGERIA
Type ofthe Article	Original research article

ReviewForm3

PART1:Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides valuable insights into the physiological and biochemical changes in women during different modes of childbirth, particularly focusing on oxidative stress and hemoglobin changes. The study contributes to our understanding of how cesarean and vaginal deliveries affect antioxidant levels, with significant implications for postpartum care. It is essential for healthcare providers to be aware of these findings to optimize maternal recovery. Overall, the work enhances the field's knowledge of postpartum oxidative stress and can guide future research in maternal health.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title accurately reflects the content of the study. However, for better clarity and conciseness, the following title is suggested: "Evaluation of Antioxidant Parameters and Hemoglobin Levels in Reproductive Women Based on Mode of Delivery in Owerri, Nigeria." Reason: Makes it concise without changing the meaning.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by briefly mentioning the statistical methods employed. Additionally, including a short sentence on the practical recommendations for postpartum care would add value.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The manuscript is well-organized, with clearly defined subsections. The sections align with academic standards and ensure smooth readability from introduction to conclusion.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically sound. The methodology, including the use of hematology analyzers and ELISA, is appropriate and ensures reliable results. The statistical analysis performed using SPSS reinforces the robustness of the findings. However, it would be beneficial if the authors included a more detailed explanation of the limitations to add transparency to the research. Provide a clearer description of the inclusion and exclusion criteria for participant selection. For example, mention if any health conditions or pregnancy complications were exclusion factors.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references are mostly recent and relevant. However, the inclusion of additional studies on the effect of oxidative stress in other African contexts would enrich the discussion. Add more recent studies (2019-2023) to support the discussion on oxidative stress and postpartum health.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, though minor grammatical errors should be corrected. A thorough proofreading is recommended to improve clarity and conciseness. Minor grammatical errors need correction to enhance readability. For example: "This study was done to evaluate..." → "This study aimed to evaluate..." "... and also those who were booked for Cesarean Section..." → "... and those scheduled for Cesarean Section..."</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Including a graphical abstract summarizing the main findings could enhance the article's visibility and accessibility to a broader audience. The manuscript is well-prepared, but minor revisions are needed to improve clarity and correctness.</p>	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ilaha Shshverdiyeva
Department, University & Country	Azerbaijan Medical University, Azerbaijan