

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_125189
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological, diagnostic and evolutionary profile of people living with HIV in the infectious diseases department of the University Hospital of Fann in Dakar, Senegal
Type of the Article	Retrospective Study

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Opportunistic Infections among HIV positive patients are common and have potential to increase the mortality among them if not managed effectively. Thus, continuous studies on the this relevant topic is vital for the scientific community. The current manuscripts documents the prevalence of such infections in HIV infected individuals retrospectively.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It rightly emphasizes the vulnerability of PLHIV to opportunistic diseases due to a weakened immune system caused by HIV-induced CD4+ T cell deficiency. The methodology is clearly structured and follows a logical progression, detailing the study design (retrospective and descriptive), data collection methods, and inclusion/exclusion criteria. The manuscript well describes how patient confidentiality was maintained. Clear and detailed epidemiological information about the study population, including hospital prevalence, age distribution, and sex ratio.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Addition of Recommendations would strengthen the manuscript.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language must be refined as per scientific manuscripts.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>1 Addition of Recommendations would strengthen the manuscript.</p> <p>2 Some additional explanation could be provided on the WHO staging of the patients in the cohort. The manuscript notes that 69.26% of patients were at WHO stage 4, but a few sentences explaining the clinical implications of stage 4 (i.e., severe immunosuppression and progression to AIDS) would provide readers with a clearer understanding of the population's severity.</p> <p>3 The 39.2% case-fatality rate is alarming and raises important questions about the factors contributing to this high mortality. The manuscript mentions late diagnosis, delayed treatment, and drug unavailability, but it would be helpful to provide some specific recommendations for improving these factors.</p> <p>Ethical statement with preserved consents have been declared by the author/s.</p>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vandana Dabla</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>