

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_125189
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological, diagnostic and evolutionary profile of people living with HIV in the infectious diseases department of the University Hospital of Fann in Dakar, Senegal
Type of the Article	Short article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This short article gave insights into the awareness and necessity of trouble shooting action about spread of infections in hospital stay . But not giving what actions could be prevent this spread of infections	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Could be modified to easily understand what is there in the article	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No Started directly with aim and objective . Background is necessary why this type of study done . Results also exactly authors what want to convey is not there . it looks like reports	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction not giving clarity. It could polished more so research gaps and what is the hypothesis we can think of.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since written language has to be modified . it looks like reading news paper . authors should take language editing so the scientific corrections will get corrected . Statistical methods descriptive methods not used to emphasize the aim of this article. Polishing is necessary Discussion part is not written well . one round of language editing definitely makes it interesting	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Even though recent references are there, not written in consistent manner or style . so, Uniformity is not maintained	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is not suitable for scholarly communication	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Ultimately written format is not suitable for communication . All par of the articles should undergo language editing	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Revathi P. Shenoy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kasturba Medical College, India</b>