

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Dermatological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRDES_124527
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mycetomas in Senegal: the impact of reduced rainfall on mapping expansion and the new aetiological profile.</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript highlights the direct impact of climate change on mycetoma epidemiology, linking reduced rainfall to increased fungal cases in arid regions. It underscores the role of environmental factors in disease prevalence and the need for accessible treatment. The findings are crucial for global health discussions, particularly in resource-poor settings	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	I will suggest the author to reconsider the title ; Impact of Climate Change on Mycetoma Distribution and Etiology in Senegal: The Role of Reduced Rainfall and Expanding Fungal Forms	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Consider adding treatment Challenges on the abstract</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Add a mention of the therapeutic challenges of treating eumycetomas, especially in relation to accessibility and cost, to emphasize the health and economic impacts on affected populations.</li> </ul>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript follows a typical structure for scientific papers, making it easy to follow and understand.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust, linking climate change, especially rainfall reduction, to mycetoma prevalence in Senegal. It uses long-term meteorological data and clinical records, with statistical analysis ( $p=0.002$ ) supporting the correlation. Geographically diverse data from multiple centres add reliability, making the study valuable for epidemiological and climate-health discussions.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Sufficient</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Good	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Non	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nelson Mosha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Regional Dermatology Training Center, Tanzania</b>