

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_124447
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Graphical Analysis of Multi-environmental Trials for Bread Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) Grain Yield Based on GGE Bi-plot Analysis</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research topic is good and successful. The content is written in high quality. In the research, the sources used as material in the registration of new varieties were utilised and successful results were obtained. In addition, stability analysis according to regions is of great importance for variety recommendation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate and complementary to the content of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is written comprehensively. However, in this section, the material and method are not given much space and the findings of the article are explained too much. A little more material and method should be included.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results obtained from the article are consistent with previous studies. The results confirm each other. It contributes to scientific studies. Both the subject of the article and the statistical analyses used are confirmatory.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	References are up to date. Appropriate references are given in each section. However, in order to strengthen it, I can recommend more than one study I have done on the same subject.  Bayhan, M., Evaluation of quality characteristics of durum wheat ( <i>Triticum durum</i> Desf.) genotypes by GGE biplot analysis method, ISPEC Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2022, 2717-7238, 6(3), 573-582.  Bayhan, M. & Yıldırım, M., Organic wheat selection through GGE biplot analysis, ISPEC Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 2022, 2717-7238, 5(2), 426-438.  Bayhan, M., Yorulmaz, L., Özkan, R., Yıldırım, M., Albayrak, Ö. & Öner, M., Evaluation of the performance of some bread wheat genotypes under arid conditions by GGE biplot analysis method, Journal of Artvin Çoruh University Faculty of Forestry, 2022, 2146-1880, 23(2), 88-95.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>The spelling of the article is appropriate and the English quality is good.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article is suitable in general terms. However, there are necessary corrections and additions. The article should be revised both in accordance with the journal's article writing rules and in accordance with the general requirements. Attention should be paid to references, units, tables and punctuation marks.  Especially the results and discussion section of the article has been successfully evaluated. Only the visuals are a little weak to fully understand the GGE biplot graph.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Merve Bayhan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dicle University, Turkiye</b>