

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_124234
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>NETWORK SAMPLING AND ITS APPLICATION TO THE PREVALENCE OF ABORTION AMONG FEMALE STUDENTS IN NIGERIA TERTIARY INSTITUTION.</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This work is useful to the scholarly community because it sheds light on the prevalence and variables influencing abortion among female students, which is a sensitive and typically underexplored topic. The use of network sampling effectively extracts insights from a hidden population, giving critical data for focused interventions. However, it may place a greater emphasis on preventative measures and support networks for pupils in school environments.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It's good to know that the study's title is appropriate because it clearly communicates the research's emphasis and methods.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is largely solid, but might benefit from the following suggestions:  Additions: Methodology Specify the statistical methods employed (for example, binary logistic regression).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust, using a sample size of 150 participants and employing Binary Logistic Regression for data analysis. It identifies key socio-cultural factors influencing abortion decisions among female students, showing a deep understanding of the context. The practical recommendations for promoting contraceptive use and parental involvement further enhance its relevance to public health.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor changes in grammar	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Varsha D. Jadhav</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Vishwakarma Institute of Technology, India</b>