

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_124979
Title of the Manuscript:	Intramedullary Spinal Cord Lipoma : A rare Cause of Paraparesis In A Child
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The case report provides important insights into a rare condition, which will be beneficial for clinicians encountering similar cases. However, presentation of the patient, comprehensive history and clinical evaluation can be elaborated. For example, cranial nerve examination, scale used for neurological examination, presence or absence of other comorbidities etc. can be addressed to help understand the case better. Please include information on the patient's medical history, presenting symptoms, and any relevant family or social history. The authors can elaborate on the case history as they find appropriate.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive. However, a structured abstract with a take away message or conclusion would help in briefly understanding the report.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is a need for a more thorough explanation of the diagnostic workup which includes all the diagnostic tests performed, their results, and how these results influenced the clinical decision-making process. Inadequate explanation of the technique is provided. To completely understand the approach and reasoning behind the treatment, readers must be given a detailed description of the surgical or clinical process. Please give a thorough rundown of the process, covering any preoperative planning, surgical methods, and postoperative management. A comparison with previous research, emphasizing the case's singularity and clinical practice implications, should be added to the discussion section. The main conclusions and their significance should be briefly summarized in the conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Kindly try to include at least one reference from the past 3 years.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roshan Cherian
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, India