

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_121204
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis, quantification and identification of pesticides from vegetables (lettuce) in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript entitled " Analysis, quantification and identification of pesticides from vegetables (lettuce) in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso)" is offering a study goaled to contribute to the identification of pesticides resulting from chemical inputs applied in the production of vegetables (lettuce) in the city of Ouagadougou</p> <p>The title of the manuscript is suitable for the presented study, more over the abstract of the article is comprehensive. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>I think the manuscript has a good idea and is scientifically correct. but it lacks some crucial points as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The numbers of samples were 3 which is very low for conducting this study, the number of samples should be increased 2. The author didn't mention any data about the method of analysis, they should write about it specially how many pesticides were analysed. 3. the author should write about maximum residue limits of the resulted pesticides 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Identification and quantification of pesticides from vegetables (lettuce) in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso) using gas chromatography mass spectrometry</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Sample should be increased</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>yes</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mostafa Ali Elmadawy
Department, University & Country	Kafrelsheikh University, Egypt