

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_121204
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis, quantification and identification of pesticides from vegetables (lettuce) in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso)
Type of the Article	Research

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment:	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	A good report on use of dangerous pesticides. Valuable experimental results. In my opinion, the conclusions might be stronger if more analysis is exerted (why is it legal to use chemicals that are forbidden in other country, for example). Provide stronger analysis of the legal aspects.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is quite precise and clear.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Quite OK. I made some suggestions in the document Please see the suggestions I made in the main text.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. Although figures 1, 2 and 3 are not quoted in the text and many molecular figures are not quoted nor numbered. Remember to quote all figures and tables. Remember that a figure (for example, a molecular structure) cannot be included without a figure. number and a quote to the figure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The un-educated use of chemicals is a serious world problem. In this case, the vegetables of concern are eaten raw (not cooking or boiling). The identification of molecules found in the vegetables show that they are not environmentally decomposed at the time they are consumed. Some "developed world" forbidden molecules were identified in the sample.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are pertinent. I suggested a few to be provided, within the text.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is generally OK. I suggested some modifications for the authors in the text.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Note that figures 1, 2 and 3 are never cited in the text. Please correct. Please try to deepen your analysis in 2 ways: 1.- why Canadian rules forbid the compound. 2.- try to show that the chemical species found are the same as those in the commercial products commercialized in your country. If possible, check the amount of those chemicals imported into your country as a whole.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albert Zeppelin
Department, University & Country	University of Chile, Chile