

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_124989
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Change: A Review of Its Impacts On The Transmission Of Vector-borne Diseases and Drug Administration.
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript could provide information to the scientific community and bridge the gap between the existing knowledge. Moreover, this topic is very up to date concerning major public health problems, such as the impacts of climate change and drug administration. Furthermore, due to international routes, world wide commerce, traveling, vector- born diseases are more likely to be transmitted around the world and affect populations which normally are not in danger.  <b>SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think this title is more suitable for this review: "The impact of climate change on the transmission of vector- borne diseases and drug administration: A Review"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive and introduce the subject fully.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No. The subsections should have been structured in different way. Please find in the manuscript the corrections regarding the structure.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In general terms this manuscript provides a qualitative research on this topic, however there some points in the text which need to be explained in an extended way in order to cover completely the subject. In the manuscript there are the necessary comments for a ameliorated development	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	The number of the references is sufficient, but there are some references which are very old to be used in this review. It is preferred to use references published the last 10 years (maximum). Otherwise, try to generate information from official websites such as WHO, CDC, ECDC etc.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Constantinos Karamalis</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of West Attica, Greece</b>