

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_125285
Title of the Manuscript:	READING HABITS, STUDY SKILLS, AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT: A CAUSAL METHOD TO LANGUAGE LEARNING MOTIVATION
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it investigates key factors influencing language learning motivation, an essential aspect of educational research. • The use of structural equation modeling (SEM) provides a rigorous methodological framework, enhancing the reliability and validity of the study's findings. • The exploration of interrelationships between reading habits, study skills, and student engagement offers valuable insights for educators and policymakers aiming to improve language learning outcomes. • Overall, the manuscript is well-structured and contributes to the existing body of knowledge, serving as a valuable resource for future research and practical applications in educational settings. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title of the article is generally appropriate, as it clearly reflects the core aspects of the research, including reading habits, study skills, student engagement, and their relationship to language learning motivation. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The abstract effectively outlines the study's aims, methodology, and key findings, providing a comprehensive overview of the research conducted. • Overall, the abstract is informative, but it would benefit from a brief mention of the potential applications of the research findings in educational settings at the end. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The introduction of the manuscript contains valuable information but could benefit from a clearer structure and focus. The following suggestions aim to enhance the clarity and organisation of the content:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify the Purpose: The introduction lacks a clear statement of the study's purpose, objectives, or research questions. It is essential to articulate these elements to guide the reader and establish the significance of the research. • Revise for Specificity: The introduction should be revised to make it more specific and reader-friendly by explicitly stating the aim of the study. • Reorganise Background Information: The background studies currently included in the introduction should be moved to a separate section titled "Review of Literature." This will create a clearer distinction between the introduction and the literature review. • Adjust Section Numbering: Following the restructuring, the numbering of subsequent sections should be adjusted accordingly to maintain coherence in the manuscript's organisation. <p>These adjustments will enhance the overall clarity and structure of the manuscript, providing a more logical flow of information for the reader.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The manuscript demonstrates a strong methodological framework through the use of structural equation modelling to explore the relationships between reading habits, study skills, student engagement, and language learning motivation, which enhances the robustness of the findings. • The statistical analyses are appropriately conducted, with the goodness-of-fit indices indicating a high degree of model fit, thereby supporting the validity of the conclusions drawn from the data. • The alignment of the findings with established theoretical frameworks, such as Vygotsky's Social Development Theory, further substantiates the scientific credibility of the research, illustrating the integration of theory with empirical data. • Additionally, the study provides practical recommendations based on its findings, which indicates a thorough understanding of the implications of the research in educational contexts, thus enhancing its overall scientific and technical soundness. 	

Review Form 3

<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references provided in the manuscript are sufficient, with a strong emphasis on recent studies that support the research findings. However, a few cited works are not within the last five years; while this is acceptable. There are inconsistencies in the years mentioned in the reference list. It is advisable to check each reference to ensure that the publication years align with the other list. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The overall language quality of the manuscript is generally clear and coherent, making it suitable for scholarly communication. Some sentences could benefit from simplification or rephrasing to enhance readability and flow. A few grammatical errors and awkward phrasings are present, which should be addressed for improved clarity. Attention to punctuation and consistent terminology will strengthen the manuscript further. Run Grammarly and fix these errors. 	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript presents a thorough investigation into language learning motivation, making it a valuable contribution to the field. Minor revisions related to clarity and consistency in terminology will enhance the overall readability and comprehension of the study. Ensuring that all references are correctly formatted and up to date will strengthen the manuscript's credibility. Addressing language quality issues will further elevate the scholarly standards of the article. With these minor revisions, the manuscript is acceptable for publication. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadia Safeer
Department, University & Country	National University of Modern Languages, Pakistan