

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AsianJournalofLanguage,LiteratureandCultureStudies
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJL2C_125285
TitleoftheManuscript:	READINGHABITS,STUDYSKILLS,ANDSTUDENTENGAGEMENT:ACAUSALMETHODTOLANGUAGELEARNINGMOTIVATION
TypeoftheArticle	

ReviewForm3

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that partinthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedbackhere)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importanceof this manuscript for the scientific community. Why doyou like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4sentencesmayberequiredforthispart.	ThemanuscriptshowslackofcoherenceofideasparticularlyintheIntroductionsectionasthepaperfail ed to occupy its niche in language research. There is certainly a sweeping conclusion made by statingthat there was no study conducted similar to this one.The results/findings of the study lack some analysisand the author failed to discuss implications of the results toFilipino language learning. The literature andstudies mentioned were mostly on the motivation to learn English but a very few studiesshowed thelanguagelearninginFilipino.Infact,itseemsconfusingonwhatlanguageisbeingdiscussedasithas beenrarelymentionedinthediscussion.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do yousuggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in thissection?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscriptappropriate?	No. The theories underpinning the study should be placed in one subsections and relation of these theoriesamongoneanothershouldbediscussed.Othersubsections mustbeindicatedtoo.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientificcorrectness of this manuscript. Why do you think thatthis manuscript is scientifically robust and technicallysound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired forthispart.	The manuscript shows a clear data analysis procedure as it cited the statistical tools used in generating theresults making it somewhat robust and technical.However, the data collection focuses on the procedure ofthe researcher on the process of executing the research but failed to mention on how was the test/surveyconductedscientifically.Howthamodelwasdevelopedandwhythismodelwasusedwereno tdiscussedin thepaper.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you havesuggestions of additional references, please mentiontheminthereviewform.	YES	
Minor REVISIONcomments Is the language/English quality of the article suitableforscholarlycommunications?	Notreally.Thereareparagraphsthatneedtoberevised,seepage4,par2.	
Optional/General comments		

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Cristina G. Fortes
Department, University & Country	College of Teacher Education, Sorsogon State University, Philippines