

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_125011
Title of the Manuscript:	RHEOLOGICAL AND SENSORY ASSESSMENT OF SOYMILK- BASED CHOCOLATE DRINKS AS INFLUENCED BY SUGAR, COCOA POWDER AND FORTIFICANTS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is timely and appreciated. Now a day new product development is crucial especially for developing countries to overcome malnutrition and related issues.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title should be modified as rheological and sensory evaluation of Soymilk- Based Chocolate product Drinks as Influenced By Sugar, Cocoa Powder and Fortificants	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides statement of problem and indicate when the experiment was conducted. Please add the word sugar as key words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Please write the conclusion part, Acknowledgment, competing interest and funding issues before the references.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	After the revision of some issues the manuscript is technically sound. The introduction part is very short. Please write about the Fortificants in the introduction part The material and methods section provides a framework for the study Is the soybean known variety or not? It is better to use released variety	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient and please write in the form of standards	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, albeit it is not clear the result part especially the fortified samples FSCD (5.88±1.17a- 8.36±0.76c) and FPSCD (7.16±1.03b- 7.32±0.86c) had highest score for flavor, color, taste and general acceptability. What about odor?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mikru Tesfa Belachew
Department, University & Country	Injibara University, Ethiopia