

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_125011
Title of the Manuscript:	RHEOLOGICAL AND SENSORY ASSESSMENT OF SOYMILK- BASED CHOCOLATE DRINKS AS INFLUENCED BY SUGAR, COCOA POWDER AND FORTIFICANTS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The topic is very interesting however, I found it difficult to fully comprehend your article. The reason why your research is important is not clearly defined. What problem is trying to address in the scientific community? I also found it difficult to understand your materials methods (the flow of how you did things is not very clear, for instance "The soybean seeds were ground in blender and expressed in the ratio of 3:1 (water to beans on a weight basis) to remove the okra, what was the ration? g:mL, g:L?). If I were to recreate a similar study, I would not be able to get it right because some crucial details are not mentioned.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Its suitable</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Enhance the abstract by including variables, practical implications, and future research recommendations. Provide a more comprehensive background and justification for the study's novelty in the introduction, supported by a thorough literature review and clear identification of the research gap.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Why not include the Abbreviations section? You were mentioning The fortified samples by abbreviations e.g FSCD and FPSCD, but there is no were where these abbreviations have been written by their full form names.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>Why not include those e.g. Nutritional, physical and sensory characteristics of various chocolate-flavored peanut–soy beverage formulations Chemical composition, sensory evaluation, rheological properties and starter activity of admixtures of buffalo's, cow's and soymilk</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What statistical analysis tool/software were you using? • Your results and discussion section is very shallow, results must be discussed and explained indepth citing the relevant literature. • What are its practical results? It is suggested to express the potential of industrial applications of this study? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modification required in the introduction section regarding the statement of the problem and the objective of the study. • Study/sample collections areas description using map is better or clearer than simple explanations and add the implication of your study. • Authors are suggested to add more citations in the introduction section to explain the background of the study. For instance "Rich in phenolic components cocoa powder contains polyphenols (Phytonutrients)," since you did not analyse for polyphenols in this study, cite where you got this kind of information. • Where is your conclusion? This section is very important in providing the key take home message of your study. <p>Main drawbacks and limitations of the study should also be indicated.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Kaone Kgotla Mokwena</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Botswana International University of Science and Technology, Botswana</p>