

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_125034
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical Study on Digital Initiatives in Higher Educational Institutions in India: Problems and Solutions
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable for the scientific community as it reviews challenges and solutions for implementing digital initiatives in higher education in India. It addresses key barriers like infrastructure limitations, lack of digital literacy, and policy gaps, while proposing actionable solutions such as sustainable funding and digital literacy programs for improvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is generally suitable if it effectively reflects the focus on challenges, solutions, and implications of implementing digital initiatives in higher education.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should specifically address challenges like infrastructure limitations, digital literacy gaps, and policy issues while briefly outlining solutions such as sustainable funding, enhanced internet access, and digital literacy programs, all framed within the context of higher education in India.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Well-structured approach, effectively utilizing subsections to present complex information in an organized manner.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through credible secondary data and content analysis. Its focus on real-world challenges in India's higher education enhances its robustness, technical soundness, and academic reliability.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.-	The references provide foundational understanding but need to be more current. Adding recent government reports and academic journal articles would enhance the manuscript's credibility.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language quality needs improvement for scholarly standards. Addressing grammatical errors, enhancing sentence clarity, and ensuring consistency in terminology will elevate its professionalism and coherence significantly.	
Optional/General comments	No	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Suresh Kumar
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph University, India