

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_123907
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the serum Zinc and Testosterone levels of carpenters in Enugu metropolis, South East Nigeria: Findings from a cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript seems to be important for the people who are in continuous contact with carcinogens like wood dust and others. This research will definitely be useful for their community to avoid major complications and aware them before getting their health deteriorated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, The title should be "A cross-sectional study on evaluation of serum Zinc and Testosterone levels of carpenters in Enugu metropolis, South East Nigeria"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. Some editions are needed which are following: 1. In "Background" there should be full stop after completion of sentence. 2. In "Results" there should be $4.11 \pm 0.10$ instead of $411 \pm 0.10$ .	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes all sections are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1. In section 2.2 Study population, the scientific names of trees should be in italic. 2. There should be space before citing a reference. 3. In Section 4.0 Discussion, The reference citation should be mentioned as [1,17,18] instead of [1'17'18].	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are sufficient and recent.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes, the language/English quality is suitable.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aditya Dixit</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AKTU, India</b>