

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_125654
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Physicochemical, Functional, and Anti-Nutritional Properties of Soya Bean Varieties in Two Research Centers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As soya been is good source of protein and various phytochemicals which improve nutritional status of malnutrient population. It is also important that soyabean is cheap source of protein and should be added into the diet. Hence further need of research into this valuable crop. Analysis of saponin is also concern for researcher. Further its value addition into different cheap products also important.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not necessary to write like two research center in title. Suggested in the comments,	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Add some value of data obtained from the tables which impact on parameters. Suggested in the comments,	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Found appropriate and good	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientifically this manuscript is sound good because of its analysis of anti-nutrient factors and sample taken from different research centres. Hence it is proved that values obtained during study is robust and good. So this manuscript is found suitable for further processing and current era also need this type of scientifically proven manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are not matched as written in the introduction and discussion part and should be correct. As suggestions of correction given in the comments on manuscript.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. Found suitable No need for improvement	
Optional/General comments	Check reference section again make necessarily corrections. In result section, Add values like minimum and maximum. As suggestions of correction given in the comments on manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahendra Kumar
Department, University & Country	Rajasthan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India