

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124662
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXPORT PERFORMANCE OF WHEAT IN INDIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>) is the most extensively grown cereal crop in the world. Since wheat is rich in protein, carbohydrates and vitamins, it is becoming staple food for millions of people across the world. Today, based on the processes taking place in the world, when the two largest wheat exporters are embroiled in a war, it is important and interesting to analyse the export potential of other countries.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, It is	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In my opinion, the summary fully reflects the paper's content and does not require correction.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are well done	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is based on the analysis of secondary data. As mentioned, the article relies on such valuable and authoritative sources, as government websites and publications of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export and Development Authority (APEDA), Agmarknet, and Agristat. The dynamics of the last 50 years are given as the analysis period, which is quite enough to make correct scientific conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are quite sufficient and resent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is not as good as the article itself. I wish the native speaker redactor would edit the text. It is understandable but there are some grammatical errors in it.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nino Rukhaia-Mosemgvlishvili
Department, University & Country	Caucasus International University, Georgia