

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_124662
Title of the Manuscript:	AN EXPORT PERFORMANCE OF WHEAT IN INDIA
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1. Foreign Exchange Earnings Wheat exports generate valuable foreign exchange, contributing to India's balance of payments. Increased wheat exports can help reduce the trade deficit by bringing in foreign currency, which strengthens India's overall economic position.</p> <p>2. Agricultural Sector Growth Wheat is a staple crop in India, and its export performance directly impacts the agricultural sector. Improved export performance helps support farmers and agricultural labor, driving rural development. Higher demand in international markets can encourage wheat farmers to increase production and invest in better technologies.</p> <p>3. Price Stabilization Exporting wheat helps regulate domestic prices. When there is a surplus in wheat production, exporting the excess helps avoid market glut and price crashes in domestic markets. This stabilization is crucial for ensuring fair prices for both producers (farmers) and consumers.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1. Precise Terminology In scientific and academic contexts, the title should reflect the specific scope and focus. A better title could be: "Analysis of Wheat Export Performance in India" "Determinants and Trends in India's Wheat Export Performance" "Assessment of India's Wheat Export Dynamics and Global Market Impact" This refined version conveys that the topic involves a detailed analysis or study of how wheat exports are performing, rather than a simple narrative of performance.</p> <p>2. Clearly Defined Parameters The original title does not specify what aspects of wheat export performance are being considered (e.g., volume, value, quality, trade policies, market demand). A more scientifically sound approach would define the parameters under study, such as: Export volume (quantitative analysis of wheat exports over time) Export value (how much revenue wheat exports are generating) Factors affecting export performance, such as production capacity, government policies, global demand, and trade barriers</p> <p>3. Temporal and Geographic Context To make the study scientifically robust, it should include temporal or geographic boundaries. For example: "A Temporal Analysis of Wheat Export Trends in India from 2000 to 2023"</p>	

Review Form 3

	<p>"Regional Contribution to Wheat Export Performance in India: A Comparative Analysis" This provides a specific time frame or regional focus, making the study more focused and scientific.</p> <p>4. Incorporation of Methodology Scientific studies often indicate the methodology or analytical tools used. A scientifically accurate version might mention the methods of assessment:</p> <p>"A Comparative Statistical Analysis of Wheat Export Trends in India" "Impact of Global Trade Policies on Wheat Export Performance: A Regression Analysis" Including methodologies like time-series analysis, econometric modeling, or policy impact analysis makes the subject scientifically rigorous.</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdal Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Tula's Institute, India