

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_125470
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploration of Challenges in Cashew Production: A Comparative analysis of Kerala and Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript describes the challenges faced by Cashew growers in two states of India i.e. Tamil Nadu and Kerela. This manuscript will serve to those who are the growing this crop and help the researchers to find the solution on how to help the cashew growers in overcoming these challenges	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title suitable and exactly describes the manuscript and what author wanted to highlight.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and it summarises the whole article possessing introduction, methodology, results and suggestions.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct as it contains all the subsections including abstract, introduction, methodology, results and discussion, conclusion and references in an appropriate way with justified literature.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references cited are sufficient and recent but the author need to follow the format of the journal to cite references as the references are not in the same format.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the language is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
	Overall the Manuscript could be accepted for publication with minor corrections.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitu Kumari
Department, University & Country	Bihar Agricultural University, India