

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_125391
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Groundwater Quality for Irrigation in Jhagadia, Netrang and Valia Taluka of Bharuch District in South Gujarat, India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Excellent manuscript on groundwater quality in the Bharuch District in South Gujarat, India, with a focus on irrigation suitability. This study very important to provide for local agriculture practices, water resource management and policy decisions affecting the farmer's livelihood. Findings will be useful for researchers and policy analysts and farmers alike in similar regions who are plagued by problems of groundwater quality.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title is appropriate. It accurately reflects the content and focus of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-phrased and gives a pretty good synopsis of the study's objectives, methods, and findings. It would be better if there were some specific added data points or very concise reference to significant results so as to make it more effective.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well organized, with appropriate subsections. The structure could follow a more logical progression that will making it easy for readers.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It looks robust and technically sound. The methodology for assessing groundwater quality is appropriate and the study gives data on the region's water quality. The results are well-presented and supported by the data, making the conclusions credible.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. No additional references are suggested at this time.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication but there is a room for a few minor grammatical errors that could be addressed for improved clarity and readability.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aniket Sachan
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Management Tiruchirappalli, India