

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125286
Title of the Manuscript:	EASE OF DOING BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURIAL GROWTH IN THE FACE OF GLOBAL ECONOMIC POLICY UNCERTAINTY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>1. The changes which are necessary to make the manuscript more significant are as follows a) Introduction part must be supported by some more references and also highlight the research gap as a separate paragraph b) In the Literature review part name of important entrepreneurship theories such as TAM, UTAT etc. c) Study result must be supported by references in the discussion part. d) To strengthen manuscript and make it more relevant please incorporate the managerial and theoretical implication of the study e) There must be some research questions which must be useful for the future researcher as limitations of the study</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the article is ok but author may improve it by highlighting its region of study in the title</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Abstract of the manuscript is well structured and it is able to communicate the information accurately</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No few sections such as Discuss and Implication of the study need to be added after the result analysis</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>If author incorporate the suggestions given in the point no one then it will be enough for the scientific correctness of the study.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =</p>	<p>More reference need to be added. Please make sure that at least 40+ references must be there in the manuscript. Such as Wennekers, S., & Thurik, R. (1999). Linking entrepreneurship and economic growth. <i>Small business economics</i>, 13, 27-56. Mishra, M. K., Kumar, S., & Upadhyaya, A. (2024). Environmental values mediate the relationships between the selected factors and the green entrepreneurial switching intentions. <i>International Journal of Work Innovation</i>, 5(2), 143-163. Gupta, V. K., Turban, D. B., Wasti, S. A., & Sikdar, A. (2009). The role of gender stereotypes in perceptions of entrepreneurs and intentions to become an entrepreneur. <i>Entrepreneurship theory and practice</i>, 33(2), 397-417. Etc.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Please check the language from any professional as right now it is not in professionally good.	
Optional/General comments	No.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manoj Kumar Mishra
Department, University & Country	Marwadi University, India