

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_125286
Title of the Manuscript:	EASE OF DOING BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURIAL GROWTH IN THE FACE OF GLOBAL ECONOMIC POLICY UNCERTAINTY
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it explores the relationship between ease of doing business and entrepreneurial growth in Nigeria, especially in the context of global economic policy uncertainty. By using an empirical approach, the study highlights significant findings that can guide policymakers on improving the business environment for entrepreneurs. The manuscript's relevance lies in its focus on Nigeria, a developing country with untapped economic potential, making it a valuable contribution for researchers and governments looking to promote sustainable economic growth. I appreciate the depth of the analysis, though the methodology could benefit from further exploration of regional variations within Nigeria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Suggestions for Improvement: Include a more precise problem statement: The abstract touches on global economic policy uncertainty but does not clearly highlight why this issue is particularly relevant for Nigeria or the significance of the study. Adding a brief sentence to explain why this is a pressing issue would strengthen the introduction.</p> <p>Clarify the significance of the findings: While the abstract mentions the results, it can be more specific about the practical implications of the findings, such as how they can influence policy decisions or entrepreneurship development strategies in Nigeria.</p> <p>Streamline the methodology description: The methodology section could be more concise. Instead of detailing the models used, a brief mention of the ARDL model followed by the key elements it captures (ease of doing business, global policy uncertainty, etc.) would keep the abstract focused.</p> <p>Recommendation phrasing: The recommendations section could be more actionable and specific, mentioning potential reforms or actions that can be taken to enhance entrepreneurial growth and counter global economic uncertainty.</p> <p>Suggested Changes:</p> <p>Revised Abstract: This study investigates the impact of ease of doing business on entrepreneurial growth in Nigeria from 2010 to 2023, particularly in the context of global economic policy uncertainty. Using data from the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor and the World Development Indicator, the study applies an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model to analyze the relationship between ease of doing business factors, such as access to credit, contract enforcement, and regulation, and entrepreneurial activity. The findings show that while improvements in the business environment significantly foster entrepreneurship, economic uncertainty hampers its growth. The study recommends that Nigeria should enhance its business environment and create safety nets to mitigate the adverse effects of global economic uncertainties. These insights are valuable for policymakers aiming to promote entrepreneurship and economic resilience in developing countries.</p> <p>This version emphasizes the essential points more clearly and keeps the focus on the study's contribution to entrepreneurship and policy discussions.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness through its use of established econometric models, such as the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model, which is appropriate for analyzing the time series data. The study adheres to standard practices in evaluating the relationship between ease of doing business and entrepreneurial growth, using relevant variables and data sources such as the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor and World Development Indicators. The statistical tests, including unit root tests and bounds testing, validate the model's robustness. Furthermore, the study's findings align with existing literature, reinforcing the credibility and technical soundness of the conclusions drawn. Overall, the methodological rigor and empirical analysis enhance the manuscript's scientific validity.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes, its sufficient.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
Optional/General comments	<p>Clarity and Conciseness: While the manuscript is detailed, some sections, particularly the methodology and literature review, could be more concise. Streamlining these sections will improve readability and keep the focus on key points.</p> <p>Actionable Recommendations: The policy recommendations at the end could be made more specific and actionable. Including concrete steps for policymakers would enhance the practical impact of the research.</p> <p>Regional Context: Given that the study focuses on Nigeria, a more detailed discussion of the regional context, particularly in the introduction, would provide a stronger foundation for the reader. This could include mentioning specific economic challenges faced by Nigerian entrepreneurs.</p> <p>Visual Aids: Consider incorporating visual aids like charts or tables to better illustrate key findings. This will make the results section more accessible and engaging.</p> <p>Grammar and Formatting: A thorough proofreading to correct minor grammatical errors and ensure consistency in formatting would improve the professionalism of the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Sarlin Venotha
Department, University & Country	St. Joseph's College, India