

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_125241</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>SURVEY ON MAJOR DISEASE OF CHABA (Piper chaba)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript aims to identify the main diseases affecting the production of chaba ( <i>Piper chapa</i> ), a plant widely important in Asian cuisine. The manuscript follows the standards of a scientific article, with self-explanatory tables and figures that are easy to understand, and a direct and precise text, providing clear answers. However, the references are outdated, with 39% being older than one year. This is the main problem of the manuscript (many outdated references).	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	"Yes, the title is appropriate for the subject studied."	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	- The article is precise in its analyses.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	-Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are correct.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	-The authors followed all the standards of a scientific research. The Introduction highlights the importance of chaba ( <i>Piper chapa</i> ). The Objective is clear. The Methodology is appropriate. Results and Discussion present the data in tables and figures. The Conclusion is consistent, identifying the main diseases affecting chaba ( <i>Piper chapa</i> ), thus meeting the research objective."	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	-"No! The authors used many outdated references. For research purposes, I believe they should consult scientific journals and seek newer, more up-to-date references."	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	➤ The manuscript has scientific merit, as it employs an appropriate and reliable methodology. However, IN MY OPINION, the manuscript should include more up-to-date references!	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Guido Laércio Bragança Castagnino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Bahia, Brazil</b>