

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_125063</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>“EFFECT OF LIQUID MICROBIAL CONSORTIUM ON PHYSIO CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF RICE FIELD (ORYZA SATIVA) IN A VERTISOL”</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The results are no well explained with proper justification.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In abstract everything mentioned is about the yield of crop whereas title itself suggest that this study was conducted to evaluate effect of biofertilizer on soil properties and abstract doesn't reflect the title	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of manuscript is appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is not at all scientifically and technically sound I wonder how authors have applied soil application of liquid consortium after 21 DATP in flooded rice field? There is no mention about the soil biological properties which can be correlated with the results obtained in the study The results are not explained well with suitable examples too. Introduction portion includes only few references as the study is very common and in past a large variety of work was done. How the study is different from previously done research is to be reflected in the introduction. In introduction portion, national, international and regional significance of the stury should be mentioned. In discussion portion it is very essential to correlate the results obtained in the study with biological properties of the soil otherwise there is no point for the research as it do not prove direct correlation of microbial inoculant with soil properties	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Reference are not at all upto date. Majority are too old, I suggest to include recent references only from last five years excluding that of methodologies adopted	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript should be checked for language correctness with native English speaker as it is very very poor in language	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Y. K. Jhala</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Anand Agricultural University, India</b>