

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123817
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of level of sorghum and WPC-80 on quality of sorghum incorporated chhana cake
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript deals with the value-addition effect of the level of sorghum and WPC-80 on the quality of sorghum-incorporated chhana cake. The strategy proposed seems promising to enhance the nutrient load of conventional cereals and their ability to be utilized as functional foods for the prevention and management of nutritional disorders. The research hypothesis, study background, and the research plan are well-planned. I am confident to declare the quality of the work presented as good enough to be accepted for publication.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title of the manuscript is suitable. There is no need for the title.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the manuscript is not comprehensive. I would like to suggest the author add results of the rheological properties of cake in the abstract.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, all subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and well-defined</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its rigorous methodology and comprehensive data analysis. The research employs well-established experimental techniques and statistical methods, ensuring reliable and reproducible results.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>It was noted that the references are not up to date, here I would like to suggest the author add more recent references.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It was noted that the references are not up to date, here I would like to suggest the author add more recent references.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Authors have significantly improved manuscripts in assigned areas. I have some minor corrections and suggestions for this revision. Corrections will be required before the manuscript is considered for publication. Below are my comments and suggestions to further improve the manuscript:</p> <p>1-The discussion of the results is not in-depth enough. It should be deeper into the mechanism of the phenomenon. I refer to add more discussion on rheological and nutritional properties of cake. 2- In the introduction section citations are not up to date. I suggest the author add recent citations in this section 3-I suggest adding a treatment plan as a table in the methodology section.</p> <p>4- Add some detail in the optimization of sorghum Flour, Butter-Vegetable Oil Blend, and WPC-80 Levels. This section is not self-explanatory. 5- Using too many tables and lacking attractive images. Add at least one graph and image of observed data. 6- The author has discussed the nutritional composition of cake in the abstract. However, in the results section, its discussion is not provided. I prefer to add more data on the effect of sorghum addition on cake nutritional composition in the results and discussion section</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saima Perveen
Department, University & Country	Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan