

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126350
Title of the Manuscript:	Direction of Trade of Rice from India: Markov Chain Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Many sentences in the paper are incomplete, many sentence and words are not necessary in the text. Please address all these issues.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Academic writing is weak its need rewrite again. Before using an abbreviation, it's important to define it to ensure that the reader or listener understands.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is necessary to verify that the data is homogeneous. Find the expected time to switched from state to state or country to country. Must the total of each row equal one of transition probability. Must explain the tables more. Need review the Eij that is expect of Each equation in methodology need reference to strong.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	It's not sufficient. To determine the sufficient sample size use this article: - A Simulation Study: Obtaining a Sufficient Sample Size of Discrete-Time Markov Chains of Investment in a Short Frequency of Time To be your methodology strong these articles: - Evaluating the Investment in the Malaysian Construction Sector in the Long-run Using the Modified Internal Rate of Return: A Markov Chain Approach * - Forecasting the Long-Run Behavior of the Stock Price of Some Selected Companies in the Malaysian Construction Sector: A Markov Chain Approach	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of this paper is poor. Significant and careful effort should be given for the improvement of this paper.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wajeeh Mustafa Sarsour
Department, University & Country	Arab American Uuniversity, Palestine