

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_126230
Title of the Manuscript:	A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY ON ASSESSMENT OF CLINICAL PROFILE OF PATIENTS WITH MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION IN A TERTIARY CARE TEACHING HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This particular article will upgrade the knowledge and practice of the health care professional regarding clinical sign, diagnosis and proper early management of myocardial infarctions in the society.	-
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	A Prospective Observational Study on the Clinical Profile Assessment of Myocardial Infarction Patients in a Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital Change the title accordingly	.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Whole abstract is written in present tense instead of past tense.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	-
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript is scientifically and practically good but it required proper grammar correction. Grammatically check the manuscript	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It's sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments	No it requires proper literature and grammar review	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	None	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alefiya Pitolwala Kaidbhai
Department, University & Country	Gujarat technological University, India