

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126590
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Antagonistic Effects of Novel Combination Fungicides Against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. ricini Under In-vitro Conditions
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This Book's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

**To ensure a high-quality review, reviewers are rated on a 5-point scale and reviewers with an average of 3 or more points will be included in the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame. Please see details of the Annual Peer Reviewers' Hall of Fame here:**

One point is assigned for each of the following:

1. The reviewer responded to the review invitation within the requested time frame.
2. The reviewer reviewed the manuscript within the requested time frame.
3. The review addressed all aspects that were asked for in the reviewer guidelines.
4. The reviewer gave suggestions to improve the revised version
5. The review was written in a friendly and encouraging way.

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The manuscript is valuable.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	Yes <b>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</b>	

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khairy Abdel-Maksoud Mohamed Abada</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>