

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_126590
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigating the Antagonistic Effects of Novel Combination Fungicides Against <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> f. sp. <i>ricini</i> Under In-vitro Conditions
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is important as a research product towards improvement of farming with control of <i>Fusarium oxysporium</i> a known highly pathogenic fungus	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is sufficient enough to summarise the findings	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript explores different combinations to solve the problems of the fungus. This strategy helps farmers and gardeners to save substantial time and resources from wastage as a result of using less or ineffective control substances.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references need updating. Only two of them are in the region above 2020.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes The language is excellent and communicates fluently to English speaking readers.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is recommended for publication because only minor corrections were observed. PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Garba H. Danladi
Department, University & Country	Kebbi state University of Science and Technology, Nigeria