

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126542
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing Nutrient Management for Enhanced Performance in Kadiri Lepakshi Groundnut
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The Manuscript is important especially for the Indian nation as it reveals that Kadiri Lapakshi groundnut cultivation can be enhanced with fertilizers for better yield and also to get micronutrients which can close the nutrients deficiency of the population. The study also reveals the effective source of calcium and sulfur for boosting ground yield which can serve for economic purpose.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title of the article is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but too long (398 words) especially the results section. It should be reduced to 300 words maximum. Subtitles are not necessary and it equally needs an introductory sentence(s). Abbreviations should be written in full at first use e.g. IFS???	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript seem appropriate though not consistent. For instance, I suggest 3.1 Effect of nutrient levels on growth parameters 3.1.1 Plant height (cm) 3.1.2 Number of branches	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research is scientifically robust given that it explored different methodological approach relative to those of other related studies. That is from field experiment to data collections and finally to the statistical analysis of this data.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and some are recent which is good but if more can be added the good. However, Srinivasan et al. (2008) should be replaced with a number [12] and the rest of the numbers adjusted	
Minor REVISION comments	The English language/ quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article needs general formatting. Tables should appear on same page and grid lines removed.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Che Jane Nchangwi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Buea, Cameroon</b>