

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_126621
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of grain discoloration disease in paddy growing ecosystems of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important especially for India as it reveals that rice being an important cash crop could have major economic consequences due to its loss from grain discoloration disease. The study also reveals the contribution to scientific knowledge as it highlights the way this highly complex grain discoloration disease could be managed by further systemic research efforts to enable the cultivation of rice in the studied areas and consequently applying to the whole nation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good but the spacing should be reduced from 1.5 to 1 in order for it to be on 1 page. Some abbreviations should be written in full for first use	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is scientifically robust and technically sound given that it explored the different methodological methods relative to those of other related research studies. It states that it is the first intensive study to document the status of grain discoloration disease in the distinct of rice ecosystems of Karnataka	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references are sufficient and recent BUT the following should be corrected: there is some inconsistency in the way they are written. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Name of publishers should be written in full</li> <li>After names of authors, comes the year.</li> <li>Some years in brackets while others are not</li> </ul> e.g. Amoghavarsha C.....et al. (2022a) Amoghavarsha C.....et al. 2022b	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language and quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The whole article is 30 pages. I suggest the line spacing should be reduced from 1.5 to .</li> <li>A lot of abbreviations are written without first defining them</li> <li>Change percent to % to reduce the number of words</li> <li>If possible citations be replaced by numbers</li> <li>Is there another way to present Table 1? It is quite long</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Che Jane Nchangwi
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon