

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_126081
Title of the Manuscript:	Heat Penetration Characteristics and Quality evaluation of Ready-to-Eat Pangasius Fish Fillet Chunks (Pangasius hypophthalmus) in Masala Using Flexible Retort Pouches
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researchers attempted to develop a thermal processed south Indian dish from Pangasius fish packed in retort pouch. Heat penetration characteristics and quality evaluation of the product was also carried out. Owing to the aquaculture potential of Pangasius, this study assumes importance as it provides a viable option for value addition of the resources. The article is structured well and is scientifically sound.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The term "Fish Fillet Chunks" doesn't seem to be standard term. The authors may consider revising the title as follows. Heat Penetration Characteristics and Quality evaluation of Ready-to-Eat Pangasius (<i>Pangasius hypophthalmus</i>) Chunks in Masala Medium Using Flexible Retort Pouches	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Pangasius is a globally demanded and widely cultured fish species. This study has explored the viability of developing a thermal processed south Indian dish from Pangasius fish packed in retort pouch. This study assumes greater importance as it provides a viable option for value addition of the resources. The article is structured well and is scientifically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the article is written in good English, However the authors may kindly correct some minor grammatical errors highlighted in the original manuscript in track change mode	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nithin. C. T
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Fisheries Post Harvest Technology and Training (NIFPHATT), India