

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_126652
Title of the Manuscript:	Complicated peptic ulcer disease- An update on management: Narrative review article
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Adequate review, clear, scientific information	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Complicated peptic ulcer disease- An update on management: Narrative review article Adequate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract adequate information, short enough	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	This includes introduction, discussion and conclusion	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	this review article to look at the management of complicated peptic ulcer disease, its presentation and different options of management	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	About 57 references related to manuscript	
Minor REVISION comments	Adequate	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Good for publishing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Burkan Nasr Rashed Shaif
Department, University & Country	Aden University, Yemen