

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_126163
Title of the Manuscript:	THE PHYSIOLOGICAL AND PHARMACOLOGICAL ROLES OF MELATONIN AND PREGABALIN.
Type of the Article	Review Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is a review that exalts the properties of two medications: melatonin and pregabalin. The article is relevant because it informs us about the studies of these two drugs that have gone from being in basic science studies to clinical application. In particular, melatonin studies tell us about the anti-carcinogenic future of the drug. In the case of pregabalin, its importance in neurological diseases stands out.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I think the title is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is understandable	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	I suggest you add a table with melatonin receptors, instead of just mentioning them in the text	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is well founded in its bibliography and makes us think about the following studies in the future in a scenario of therapies and clinical application of these medications.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references are adequate and sufficient	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It seems appropriate to me	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ofelia Perez Olvera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Mexico</b>