

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJARR_126503 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Morphological characterization and production performance of begait sheep breed in Tigrai, Ethiopia |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Yes. This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it contributes to the understanding of the Begait sheep population, which has been under-researched despite its unique adaptive traits and significance to local livelihoods. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes. Some grammatical mistakes should be corrected. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Yes | |
| Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study area is well-defined, with clear geographical coordinates and environmental conditions that are relevant to the breed's adaptation, ensuring that the findings are contextually grounded. The data collection procedures are thorough, involving both qualitative and quantitative measures across a significant sample size of 510 sheep, which enhances the reliability of the results. Furthermore, the analysis employs appropriate statistical techniques, such as GLM and chi-squared tests, to ensure that the findings are statistically valid and account for potential confounding factors. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | Yes. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Tanzila Zafrin Tanvi |
| Department, University & Country | Khulna Agricultural University, Bangladesh |