

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_125969
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial Distribution of Public Lower Secondary School and Its Impact On Students' Enrolment in Ogun Central Senatorial District Ogun State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript addresses an important issue that has significant implications for education planning and policy-making, especially in developing regions like Ogun State, Nigeria. The spatial distribution of schools and its direct impact on student enrollment is crucial for ensuring equitable access to education. This study not only highlights current enrollment trends but also offers insight into potential causes of declining numbers, which can inform future educational infrastructure planning. The work is particularly valuable because it combines spatial analysis with enrollment data, providing a data-driven approach to understanding educational challenges.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The title is appropriate as it accurately reflects the content and scope of the manuscript. It focuses on the spatial distribution of schools and the enrollment impact, which are key components of the study.</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from slight adjustments. The primary objective of the study is clear, but the methodology could be summarized more succinctly. Additionally, some aspects, such as the detailed regression formula in the abstract, could be moved to the methodology section to maintain focus. The factors contributing to declining enrollment are well-explained, but a more direct connection between spatial distribution and enrollment could be emphasized.</p> <p>Suggested revisions for the abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider summarizing the regression analysis rather than including the full equation in the abstract. • Emphasize how spatial distribution is linked to educational equity more directly. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>The subsections are appropriate and logically arranged. The structure effectively guides the reader through the problem, objectives, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, the presentation of tables and figures could be improved for readability by ensuring they are discussed more clearly in the text before or immediately after they are introduced.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript is scientifically robust. The methodology is sound, particularly the use of spatial analysis through GIS, which is a powerful tool for assessing the accessibility of educational infrastructure. The nearest neighbor analysis provides a quantitative foundation for understanding the spatial distribution of schools. Additionally, the enrollment trend analysis is well-integrated and highlights the impact of spatial challenges on educational access.</p> <p>The manuscript addresses a real-world problem that has both social and economic implications, making it relevant for policy-makers and educational planners. It could potentially serve as a reference for other regions facing similar issues of educational accessibility.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references appear sufficient, but it would be useful to ensure the inclusion of recent studies related to spatial analysis and educational accessibility in developing regions. This will strengthen the context and support for the findings.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The language is generally clear, but some grammatical improvements can enhance clarity. For example, some sentences in the introduction and methodology sections are lengthy and could be shortened for better readability.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The terms used in the statistical analysis (e.g., "negative line") could be replaced with more formal terminology such as "negative trend" or "declining trend."</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Consider revising sections of the conclusion to reiterate how the spatial distribution specifically influences the identified enrollment trends.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, this manuscript provides valuable insight into the intersection of spatial planning and educational outcomes. With minor revisions to the abstract, language, and presentation of data, it will be a strong contribution to the field.</p> <p>The manuscript appears well-structured and thorough, addressing a relevant issue with solid methodology. It may benefit from minor revisions, especially to improve clarity and refine the presentation of results and conclusions.</p> <p>There do not appear to be any immediate ethical issues in this manuscript. The research uses secondary data from public sources, and the analysis follows standard methodologies. However, the study should ensure the privacy of any primary data collected, such as school coordinates and demographic statistics, and confirm that consent was obtained where necessary.</p> <p>No competing interests are evident in this manuscript. The study is centered on a public policy issue, without financial or personal conflicts that could bias the results.</p> <p>There is no overt indication of plagiarism. However, if similar work was seen elsewhere, I recommend using a plagiarism detection tool to verify originality and confirm that all sources are properly cited. Specific web links or phrases from any other source would be needed to substantiate a claim of overlap.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Subash Kumar Bhattarai</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Nepal Engineering College, Nepal</p>