

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_124945
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability and trait association in desi and kabuli chickpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>It gives insight into important yield components traits that can be used to improve chickpea varieties of desi and Kabul for improved yield</i>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<i>It is suitable but added the scientific name of chickpea at title</i>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<i>Author should consider adding statement of were the experiment was conducted</i>	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<i>Structure and subsection ok</i>	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<i>The information shall help breeder with yield components to concentrate on and shall assist them in yield improvement of chickpea</i>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	<i>References are ok and of latest reviews, however the style of referencing can be considered to be changed to use of alphabetic order. If the advice is taken, then can include the authors at the article instead of number referencing eg Panda et al, 2022 instead of 11.</i>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<i>The English language used is adequate for scholarly communication</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Patrick Mashiq</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Botswana University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Botswana</b>