

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_125429
Title of the Manuscript:	CYSTIC DUCT VARIATIONS IN ADULT POPULATION; A MAGNETIC RESONANCE BASED CHOLANGIOPANCREATICOGRAPHY AT A TERTIARY CARE REFERRAL HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	?

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This is a very important technique. Must be published if there is something more interesting. My sincere request to author of this article to provide record in original. There is no single record provided with this manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is not suitable because author has mentioned about tertiary care referral hospital. There is no need by pointing out about this hospital. Does author interested to highlight about something special in this hospital? I do not know about this hospital is, private or Govt hospital? Needs to be modified.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not comprehensive. Author must point out the objectives clearly. Why this idea came into his/her mind for writing this manuscript. If it is for promotion or thesis purpose then he or she must come out with originality. Manipulated data has been incorporated.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Not appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Since there is no original record, Author must come up with name of patient, OPD slip, date on which this technique was conducted in the laboratory. Only table will not solve the problem.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	My intention is to come up with original record so that author, himself/herself will realize genuineness with the publication process. Conclusion part has to be rewritten according to their objectives.	
Optional/General comments	Author must provide original records. How can we say the record submitted by author is original? Lets wait and watch whether original record is being submitted by author or not.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Snehasis Bhunia
Department, University & Country	Uttar Pradesh University of Medical Sciences, India