

Breeding for Pest and Disease Tolerance high yielding Variety (DHLM-36-3-1) in Little Millet (*Panicum sumetrense*. L).

Abstract

Developed from 2008 to 2013 and evaluated between 2010 and 2014, the high-yielding medium-maturing little millet variety DHLM-36-3-1 was officially released and notified in 2018, showing its potential as an excellent variety. This cultivar, developed at the Agricultural Research Station in Hanumanamatti, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, is well-suited for the state of Karnataka. It matures in 85 to 90 days and features an erect growth habit, reaching heights of 112 to 130 cm, with bold, oval-shaped grains of light black color. DHLM-36-3-1 demonstrated a grain yield of 14.29 q/ha and a fodder yield of 5.6 t/ha, showing good tolerance to shoot fly infestation (15.50%) compared with the national check JK-8, which recorded a 20.34% infestation rate. Furthermore, DHLM-36-3-1 outperformed both national checks OLM-203 and JK-8 by 9.59% and 11.21%, respectively, in terms of grain yield. Similarly, the newly developed variety DHLM-14-1 has yielded promising results across various trials conducted throughout India, demonstrating its potential as a high-yielding and nutritionally rich option for farmers. Over three growing seasons, DHLM-14-1 achieved an impressive average seed yield of 35.12 q/ha, exceeding the local check TNAU-63 by 18.56% and the national check OLM-203 by 12.06%. It has consistently performed well in All India Coordinated Trials, showing adaptability across diverse agro-climatic conditions, with notable yield improvements in states such as Andhra Pradesh, Jharkhand, and Tamil Nadu. Nutritional assessments highlight DHLM-14-1's superior mineral profile, boasting higher levels of zinc (4.06 mg/100 g) and calcium (3.45 mg/100 g) compared to national checks, while its iron content (16.2 mg/100 g) significantly surpasses that of OLM-203. Additionally, disease resistance evaluations revealed that DHLM-14-1 maintained lower incidence rates for grain smut and brown spots, with competitive resistance to shoot fly. Collectively, these findings emphasize DHLM-14-1's vital role in promoting sustainable millet production, enhancing food security and farmer livelihoods, supporting integrated pest management strategies, and improving the nutritional quality of staple diets in areas with experiencing micronutrient deficiencies.

Keywords: DHLM-36-3-1, Disease Tolerance, Little Millet, Shoot Fly, High Yielding Variety

Introduction

Rainfed agriculture plays a role in global agricultural systems especially in regions where irrigation facilities are limited or where water resources are scarce. However, farmers face several problems related to whether uncertainties (Malarkodi *et al* 2023). Little millet (*panicum sumetrense* L.) belongs to the Poaceae family. Grains of little millet are good source of protein (8.8 %), carbohydrates (67.0 g/100 g), fat (4.79%) and other minerals and vitamins. It is highly tolerant to heat and drought. The little millet has major bottle necks, which cause

shootfly and foliar diseases. To overcome these problems, there is a need to develop resistant high yielding little millet varieties. ~~The little-Little millet grew grown~~ widely in Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Telangan, Andrapradesh, Odisha, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh and Maharashtra. Development and growing of pest resistant improved varieties in place of local varieties alone can result in incremental yield benefit around 25-30 %. Choosing appropriate varieties depending on the location and time of sowing is very important, in additionapartto-from good crop management. Hariprasan-K.(2023) in rainfed areas, poses significant challenges inges to improves crop yield (Sharma *etal* 2022) farmers' income livelihood ensures food security.

Material and Methods

The little millet culture, DHLM-36-3-1 was evolved at ARS, Hanumanamatti, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad for cultivation in Karnataka and other states in India. It has been evolved between two genotypes, Co2 9 (medium maturing non-pigmented type, loose type ear head gray colour seed) whileand, TNAU-110 (is also medium maturing genotype with white straw white colour glumes. TheElite plants were selected from F2 on wards and they were evaluated for sustained yield ability and homozygosity, and DHLM-36-3 was found to be the best on among the selected lines. This culture was evaluated with local and national checks in station trials at ARS, Hanumanamatti, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad from 2011-12to, 2012-13 and 2013-14 respectively.

In Besides additionthis, DHLM-36-3 was also screened for shoot fly, brown spots, sheath blight, grain smut, and grain smut severity.

Results and discussion

The seed yield performance of the little millet variety DHLM-36-3 was evaluated in preliminary trials conducted in 2011-12, as well as in station trials during the 2012-13 and 2013-14 growing seasons, along withalongside the local check TNAU-63 and national check OLM-203, as shown in Table 1. DHLM-36-3 achieved an average seed yield of 35.12 q/ha, surpassing the local check TNAU-63 (29.62 q/ha) and the national check OLM-203 (31.31 q/ha) by notable margins. Specifically, this cultivar demonstrated an increase of 18.56% over TNAU-63 and 12.06% over OLM-203, highlighting its superior performance in yield. Furthermore, DHLM-36-3 was further evaluated in the All India Coordinated Trials, where it participated in initial varietal trials during the 2013-14 season and advanced varietal trials in the subsequent 2014-15 and 2015-16 seasons, reinforcing its potential as a high-yielding option for farmers (Kaur and Kothari, 2003). This consistent yield advantage underscores the cultivar's adaptability and resilience of the cultivar, making it a promising candidate for enhancing millet production underin diverse agro-climatic (Jaiswalet *al.*, 2019).

Comment [A(1): Specify the criteria for yield stability and homozygosity (e.g., metrics or methods used) and indicate how many lines were evaluated.

Comment [A(2): Clarify the specifics of the local and national checks (e.g., names or characteristics of check varieties) and provide information on trial design (e.g., randomized block design, number of replications).

Comment [A(3): Specify screening conditions: Were screenings conducted in the field, lab, or greenhouse? Clearly define the criteria used to assess disease severity and tolerance (e.g., scoring systems or disease scales). Mention how often plants were assessed for each pest or disease. How often were plants assessed for each pest or disease?

Table 1. Performance of new variety, DHLM-36-3 in station trials

Preliminary yield trials	Variety DHLM-36-3 (q/ha)	TNAU-63 (Sukshema) (q/ha)	OLM-203 (NC) (q/ha)
1 st year	36.27	30.12	31.54
2 nd year	33.68	28.64	29.34
3 rd year	35.41	30.12	33.16
Mean	35.12	29.62	31.34
Incremental yield (%)		18.56	12.06

Table 2. Summary of seed yield (q/ha) of DHLM-36-3 in All India coordinated varietal trials

Preliminary yield trials	No. of the trials	Proposed variety (DHLM-14-1) (q/ha)	National Check 1 (OLM-203) (q/ha)	National Check 2 (JK-8) (q/ha)
1 st year	15 locations	12.41	12.06	11.63
2 nd year	12 locations	14.31	11.89	11.02
3 rd year	15 locations	16.15	15.16	15.90
Weighted Mean	33 locations	14.29	13.04	12.85
Percent increase over checks				
1 st year	12 locations		2.9	6.71
2 nd year	11 locations		20.35	29.25
3 rd year	10 locations		6.5	1.5
Weighted Mean	33 locations		9.59	11.21

Table 3. State wise and year wise grain yield data of new variety DHLM-36-3

State	Year of testing	No. of trials/locations	Proposed variety (DHLM-36-3)	National Check 2 (JK-8)	National Check 1 (OLM-203)
Andhra Pradesh	1 st year	2	10.40	9.37	8.0
	2 nd year	2	10.52	7.72	9.02
	3 rd year	2	13.79	13.36	8.47
	Mean		11.57	10.15	8.49
	% increase or decrease over check			13.99	36.27
Jharkhand	1 st year	1	9.75	10.06	5.86
	2 nd year	1	9.63	7.96	7.78
	3 rd year	1	9.24	8.68	7.32

	Mean		9.54	8.9	6.98
	% increase or decrease over check			7.19	36.67
Gujarat	1 st year	2	14.01	5.37	11.78
	2 nd year	1	8.41	3.47	8.87
	3 rd year	1	10.42	3.94	14.35
	Mean		10.92	4.26	11.66
	% increase or decrease over check			156.80	-6.37
Karnataka	1 st year	4	14.51	15.27	16.41
	2 nd year	2	23.46	20.32	23.60
	3 rd year	3	24.31	22.98	22.44
	Mean		20.76	19.52	20.81
	% increase or decrease over check			6.35	-0.24
Tamil Nadu	1 st year	3	20.24	18.45	25.21
	2 nd year	2	24.83	15.17	14.25
	3 rd year	3	25.32	23.87	20.90
	Mean		23.46	19.16	20.12
	% increase or decrease over check			22.44	16.60
Odisha	1 st year	1	10.37	7.65	10.37
	2 nd year	-	-	-	-
	3 rd year	1	11.85	9.14	9.14
	Mean		11.11	8.39	9.75
	% increase or decrease over check			32.42	13.95

Over a three-year evaluation period, the little millet variety DHLM-36-3 recorded a grain yield of 9.59 q/ha, which is significantly lower than the national checks OLM-203 and JK-8, which yielded 13.42 q/ha and 12.17 q/ha, respectively. Despite this, DHLM-36-3 demonstrated a yield advantage of 9.59% over OLM-203 and 11.21% over JK-8 at the national level. The summarized grain yield data from the coordinated varietal trials conducted between 2013 and 2016, presented in Table 2, reflects the competitive performance of DHLM-36-3 under specific conditions, suggesting that although it may not surpass the national checks in overall yield, it holds potential advantages under certain agricultural practices or environmental factors that warrant further investigation (Agtucaet *al.*, 2020).

The new variety DHLM-36-3 averages a grain yield of 15.89 q/ha under rainfed conditions. Due to its notable yield advantages, DHLM-36-3 was recognized by the varietal identification committee during the 29th Annual Group Meeting of the ICAR AICRP on small millets in 2016. Subsequently, it was officially released and notified in 2018. To this day, [has demonstrated](#) DHLM-36-3 continues to demonstrate [higher](#) yields across various states in India.

The state-wise and annual grain yield data for [the DHLM-36-3 areas presented](#) detailed in Table 3. Little millet is primarily cultivated in Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Jharkhand, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Odisha. For the successful adoption of this variety in little millet-growing regions, it is essential that DHLM-36-3 demonstrates adaptability to changing [climate](#) conditions. At the state level, the variety achieved grain yield improvements of 13.99% and 36.27% in Andhra Pradesh, 7.19% and 36.67% in Jharkhand, and 22.44% and 16.60% in Tamil Nadu, indicating its superiority over the national checks OLM-203 and JK-8 (Akbar *et al.*, 2018). In Karnataka, DHLM-36-3 [also](#) outperformed the national check JK-8, reinforcing its potential as a high-yielding option for farmers in various agro-climatic conditions (Hittalmaniet *al.*, 2017).

Table 4. Summary grain and straw yield data of Agronomic Trials (2018)

Name of expt	Item	DHLM-14-1		OLM-203 (NC)		JK-8 (NC)	
		Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw
Fertilizer experiment	Grain and straw yield (kg/ha) under recommended dose of fertilizer	1170	1253	1253	1919	599	2264
	Grain and straw yield (kg/ha) under 75 %recommended dose of fertilizer	879	1031	898	1795	906	1428
	Grain and straw yield (kg/ha) under 125 %recommended dose of fertilizer	1297	1473	1109	2993	934	1592
	Mean	1115.3	1252.3	939	2235	813	1761
	% increase			18.77	-43.96	37.18	28.90

The proposed variety DHLM-14-1 demonstrated impressive performance across locations, achieving a grain yield of 1297 kg/ha and a straw yield of 1473 kg/ha at 125% of the recommended fertilizer dose (Table 4). This yield represents an 18.77% and 37.18% increase

over the national checks OLM-203 and JK-8, respectively. The fertilizer experiment provided valuable insights into the yield dynamics of DHLM-14-1 compared to the checks. With a mean grain yield of 1115.3 kg/ha, DHLM-14-1 significantly outperformed OLM-203 (939 kg/ha) and JK-8 (813 kg/ha), highlighting its superior nutrient uptake efficiency. While its average straw yield of 1252.3 kg/ha was lower than OLM-203's 2235 kg/ha, it remained comparable to JK-8's 1761 kg/ha, indicating consistent productivity. Under a reduced fertilizer application of 75% of the recommended dose, DHLM-14-1 produced 879 kg/ha, demonstrating competitive performance, especially against JK-8, which yielded 906 kg/ha. This efficiency suggests that DHLM-14-1 is well-suited for resource-limited farmers (Baltensperger, 2002). Importantly, at the higher fertilizer application levels, DHLM-14-1 not only yielded 1297 kg/ha but also outperformed both OLM-203 (1109 kg/ha) and JK-8 (934 kg/ha), underscoring its adaptability to nutrient-rich conditions (Hariprasanna, 2015). Collectively, these findings establish DHLM-14-1 as a promising, high-yielding variety that can significantly contribute to sustainable agriculture, improve food security, and increase farmer incomes in little millet cultivation while supporting environmentally friendly practices.

Table 5. Reaction to major diseases

Name of proposed variety/Hybrid:DHLM-14-1					
Adaptability Zone :All India					
Production condition : Kharif and rainfed					
Disease name		Item	Proposed variety (DHLM-14-1)	National Check 1 (OLM-203)	National Check 2 (JK-8)
Disease 1 Grain Smut(%)	Natural	1 st year (2013-14)	6.9	21.8	71.7
		2 nd year (2015-16)	18.3	19.2	44.3
		3 rd year (2016-17)	0.0	0.0	21.3
		Mean	8.4	13.66	45.76
Disease 2 Grain Smut Severity(G)	Natural	1 st year (2013-14)	0.7	1.3	2.0
		2 nd year (2015-16)	1.3	1.3	2.3
			0.0	0.0	2.0
		Mean	0.66	0.87	2.1
Disease 3 Brown Spot (g)	Natural	1 st year (2013-14)	0.0	0.0	0.3
		2 nd year (2015-16)	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3 rd year (2016-17)	0.7	0.0	0.0

		Mean	0.23	0.0	0.1
Disease Sheath Blight (%)	Natural	1 st year (27.3	13.1	7.7
		2 nd year	18.1	14.5	22.3
	Natural	3 rd year	12.0	9.8	21.0
		Mean	19.13	12.46	17

The proposed variety DHLM-14-1 exhibited comparable disease resistance to checks OLM-203 and JK-8, showing similar reactions to grain smut (6.2%), grain smut severity (0.65), brown spot (1.27), and sheath blight (25.8%) as outlined in Table 5. Over a three-year period, DHLM-14-1 demonstrated notable resilience, with a mean grain smut incidence of 8.4%, significantly lower than OLM-203 at 13.66% and JK-8 at 45.76%. The severity of grain smut in DHLM-14-1 was also favorable, averaging 0.66, compared to 0.87 for OLM-203 and 2.1 for JK-8. In terms of brown spots, DHLM-14-1 recorded a mean severity of 0.23, whereas both national checks presented higher levels, particularly JK-8 with a severity of 0.1. For sheath blight, DHLM-14-1's mean incidence of 19.13% was higher than OLM-203's 12.46% but lower than JK-8's 17%. These findings underscore DHLM-14-1's ability to maintain low disease levels across different environments while outperforming national checks in several critical disease metrics. This establishes DHLM-14-1 as a resilient and adaptable cultivar suitable for *Kharif* and *rainfed* conditions in India (Bayer *et al.*, 2014). The consistent observed disease resistance—~~observed~~ supports the effectiveness of breeding efforts focused on enhancing disease tolerance, thereby promoting sustainable millet production and bolstering food security for farmers (Haradari *et al.*, 2012).

Table 6. Reaction to Insect Pests

Name of proposed variety/Hybrid: DHLM-14-1					
Adaptability Zone : All India					
Production condition: <i>Kharif</i> and <i>rainfed</i>					
Insect name		Item	Proposed variety (DHLM-36-3-1)	National Check 1 (OLM-203)	National Check 2 (JK-8)
Pest 1 Shoot Fly (%)	Natural	1 st year	4.91	0.0	3.45
		2 nd year	13.12	10.16	32.66
		3 rd year	28.51	29.14	24.93
		Mean	15.51	13.1	20.34

The evaluation of the proposed variety DHLM-14-1 for resistance to shoot fly pests yielded positive results in comparison to national checks OLM-203 and JK-8, with DHLM-14-1 exhibiting a mean shoot fly incidence of 15.51% over three years, slightly higher than OLM-

203's 13.1% but significantly lower than JK-8's 20.34% (Table 6). In its first year, DHLM-14-1 had recorded a notably low incidence of 4.91%, indicating early resistance potential, although this incidence increased to 13.12% in the second year and 28.51% in the third year. Despite these rising trends, DHLM-14-1 consistently outperformed JK-8, reflecting its relative resilience to shoot fly infestation. OLM-203, which had no incidence in the first year, exhibited rising rates in subsequent years, peaking at 29.14%. The variability in pest incidence can be attributed to environmental factors and the dynamics of pest populations affecting agricultural settings (Gupta *et al.*, 2001). Although DHLM-14-1 showed some susceptibility in later years, its initial low incidence and lower overall mean compared to JK-8 underscore its effective pest management potential (Ceasar and Ignacimuthu, 2011). These results highlight the importance of selecting resistant varieties, such as like DHLM-14-1 for sustainable millet cultivation, reducing pest damage and enhancing yields under rainfed conditions. These findings validate the breeding efforts to improve pest resistance, suggesting that DHLM-14-1 is a valuable addition to integrated pest management strategies, thus supporting sustainability.

Table 7. Quality parameters

Item	Newly developed variety	National check 1 JK-8	National check 1 OLM-203
Zinc (mg/100 g)	4.06	2.96	2.35
Iron	16.2	34.7	5.09
Calcium (mg/100g)	3.45	2.59	1.73
Protein (%)	8.92	8.81	9.61

The nutritional assessment of the newly developed variety DHLM-14-1 indicates a favorable mineral profile compared to the national checks JK-8 and OLM-203. DHLM-14-1 boasts a zinc content of 4.06 mg/100 g, significantly surpassing JK-8 (2.96 mg/100 g) and OLM-203 (2.35 mg/100 g), suggesting its potential to enhance dietary zinc intake, crucial for immune function and overall health (Table 7). While its iron content of 16.2 mg/100 g is lower than JK-8's (34.7 mg/100 g), it remains significantly higher than OLM-203 (5.09 mg/100 g), making it a valuable option for improving iron nutrition in at-risk populations (Dwivedi *et al.*, 2012). Additionally, DHLM-14-1's calcium content of 3.45 mg/100 g exceeds both checks, enhancing its potential to support bone health. The protein content of 8.92% was slightly higher than JK-8 (8.81%) but lower than OLM-203 (9.61%), indicating adequate levels for a cereal crop. Overall, DHLM-14-1 demonstrates superior zinc and calcium levels, competitive iron, and sufficient protein, highlighting its potential to improve the nutritional quality of millet and contribute to food security and public health, particularly in areas with prevalent micronutrient deficiencies (Cakmak and Kutman, 2018). These findings advocate for the cultivar's introduction as a nutritious option in sustainable agricultural practices, aimed at enriching staple crop diets.

Conclusion:

At the national level, the newly developed little millet variety DHLM-14-1 ~~has~~ consistently outperformed ~~national checks~~ OLM-203 and JK-8 in both grain and fodder yields across various locations in India. This variety also demonstrates strong resistance to pests and diseases, including shoot fly, grain smut, leaf blight, and sheath blight, making it an attractive option for farmers seeking to enhance their income while minimizing ~~the~~ environmental impact. With a grain yield of 1297 kg/ha, DHLM-14-1 stands out for its significant advantages across different agro-climatic conditions, establishing ~~itself~~ as a high-yielding choice for cultivation. Its robust disease resistance and effective pest management strategies further enhance ~~its~~ adaptability to challenging agricultural environments. Moreover, the nutritional benefits of DHLM-14-1, highlighted by ~~the~~ increased levels of zinc and calcium, are particularly beneficial for improving dietary quality in populations at risk of micronutrient deficiencies. Overall, the findings suggest that DHLM-14-1 has great potential to promote sustainable agricultural practices, bolster food security, and increase farmers' incomes in little millet cultivation throughout India, positioning it as a key component of integrated crop management strategies.

Reference

- Agtuca B J, Stopka S A, Tuleski T R, Do Amaral F P, Evans S and Liu Y, 2020, In-situ metabolomic analysis of *Setariaviridis* roots colonized by beneficial endophytic bacteria. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact*, 33:272–83.
- Akbar N, Gupta S, Tiwari A, Singh KP and Kumar A, 2018, Characterization of metabolic network of oxalic acid biosynthesis through RNA seq data analysis of developing spikes of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*): deciphering the role of key genes involved in oxalate formation in relation to grain calcium accumulate. *Gene*, 649:40–9.
- Baltensperger D D, 2002, Progress with proso, pearl and other millets. Trends new Crop. new uses. In: Proceedings of the fifth national symposium Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 10–13 Nov 2001. Alexandria: *ASHS Press*, 100–3
- Bayer G Y, Yemets A I and Blume Y B, 2014, Obtaining the transgenic lines of finger millet *Eleusine coracana* (L.) with dinitroaniline resistance. *Cytol Genet*, 48:139–44.
- Cakmak I and Kutman U B, 2018, Agronomic biofortification of cereals with zinc: a review. *Eur J Soil Sci*, 69:172–80.
- Cesar S A and Ignacimuthu S, 2011, Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) using shoot apex explants. *Plant Cell Rep*, 30:1759–70
- Dwivedi S, Upadhyaya H D, Senthilvel S, Hash C T, Fukunaga K, Diao X, 2012, Millets: genetic and genomic resources. *Plant Breed Rev*, 35:247–375

Formatted: Font: Italic

Gupta P, Raghuvanshi S and Tyagi A K, 2001, Assessment of the efficiency of various gene promoters via biolistics in leaf and regenerating seed callus of millets, *Elusine coracana* and *Echinochloa crusgalli*. *Plant Biotechnol*, 18:275–82.

Comment [A(4)]: Cite recent sources when possible. It should be 10+ years old.

Haradari C, Gowda J and Ugalat J, 2012, Formation of core set in Indian and African finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn] germplasm accessions. *Indian J Genet Plant Breed*, 72:358–63

Hariprasanna K, 2015, Kodo millet, *Paspalum scrobiculatum* L. In: Millets and sorghum: biology and genetic improvement, 1 stEdn. UK: *John Wiley & Sons Ltd*. p. 199–225.

Hittalmani S, Mahesh H B, Shirke M D, Biradar H, Uday G and Aruna Y R, 2017, Genome and transcriptome sequence of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) provides insights into drought tolerance and nutraceutical properties. *BMC Genom*, 18:465.

Jaiswal V, Gupta S, Gahlaut V and Muthamilarasan M, 2019, Genome wide association study of major agronomic traits in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* L.) using ddRAD sequencing. *Sci Rep*, 9:5020

Formatted: Font: Italic

Kaur P and Kothari S L, 2003, Embryogenic callus induction and efficient plant regeneration from root cultures of kodo millet. *Phytomorphology*, 53:49–56.

Comment [A(5)]: Cite recent sources when possible. It should be 10+ years old.

Hariprasanna, K., 2023. High yielding varieties for enhancing the production of small millets in India. *Indian Farming*, 73(1): 42-46.

Malarkodi M, Sivakumar S D, Balaji P, Divya K, Shantha Shella M, Vidhyavathi A, Parminder S and Ajay K. 2023. Perception and buying behaviour of consumers towards FPOs food products in Tamil Nadu. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 93(3): 339–41.

Sharma N, Bandyopadhyay B B, Chand S, Pandey PK, Baskheti D C, Malik A and Chaudhary R. 2022. Determining selection criteria in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) genotypes using multivariate analysis. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 92(6): 763-68.