

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126144
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF HAEMATOLOGICAL PROFILE OF HEALTHY MALE DOGS OF KOMBAI BREED IN THENI DISTRICT, TAMILNADU.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper presents an observational study that focuses on establishing reference hematological values for Kombai dogs, a native breed. The study methodically evaluates various blood parameters, including red and white blood cell counts, hemoglobin concentration and platelet counts. The results are aligned with reference data from established sources, and the authors discuss how factors like breed, diet, and age can influence hematological values.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study addresses an important gap in veterinary care for native breeds by providing specific reference values. The methodology is clearly outlined, including sample collection, storage, and analysis, ensuring that the study can be replicated. The study's conclusions are applicable to veterinary diagnostics, offering insights that could help veterinarians in clinical settings.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language should be improved and submitted to a native speaker. There are some incorrect conjunctions ("appeared to be in good health was the goal of this study,") or multiple problems related with punctuations and misplaced articles. It should definitely be revised from this perspective.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Razvan Nicolae Malancus
Department, University & Country	"Ion Ionescu de la Brad" Iasi University of Life Sciences, Romania