

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_126144
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINATION OF HAEMATOLOGICAL PROFILE OF HEALTHY MALE DOGS OF KOMBAI BREED IN THENI DISTRICT, TAMILNADU.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Having area- and breed-specific reference values is beneficial for scientific studies. However, this manuscript requires additional details along with their scientific rationale.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Alternative title : Assessment of Haematological Profiles in Healthy Male Kombai Dogs in Theni District, Tamil Nadu	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is sufficient. However, it should state "apparently healthy" instead of "healthy," as the grouping was based solely on clinical examinations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	ok	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The term "apparently healthy" should be used instead of simply "healthy." 2. There is insufficient patient data regarding factors like age, ownership status (pet or stray), body weight, vaccination history, deworming status, and eating habits. It is not possible to classify patients as healthy based solely on clinical examination, especially when these data points are essential reference values for the scientific community. Detailed investigations, including serological tests, are necessary. 3. Additionally, the reason for excluding females from the study is unclear. 4. It is also uncertain how long the medication was discontinued prior to the study. 5. Upon reviewing the levels of neutrophils, it appears to indicate neutrophilia rather than normal values according to other standard reference texts. Please verify this. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	There should be atleast 2-3 references of last five years	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It can be suitable after incorporating additional information	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Having reference values for native breeds is beneficial. Thus, this manuscript can be valuable for researchers and clinicians. However, it requires the corrections mentioned above.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avinash K. Bilwal
Department, University & Country	College of Veterinary Science & Animal Husbandry, Kamdhenu University, India